UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

ONITED CTATES BISTINGT	SOURT OR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISHIGAR
United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. Juan Flores Defendant	Case No. 1:10-cr-00148-RHB
After conducting a detention hearing under the that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ne Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of all offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
which the prison term is 10 years or	
an offense for which the maximum s	entence is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum pris	son term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defenda U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compa	ant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 arable state or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of viole a minor victim the possession or use o	f a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
a failure to register unde	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was control or local offense.	ommitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed offense described in finding (1).	since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another t defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense
✓ for which a maximum prison term of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.) The substance of the substanc	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). ✓ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presur will reasonably assure the defendant's app	nption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions earance and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant v	• •
	vill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Stat	tement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention pending trial.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 10, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	